

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/505,319	CALDER ET AL.	
	Examiner MEKONEN BEKELE	Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:(1) Mekonen Bekele.**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____.

(2) George Dolina.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 12 March 2009**Time:** 2:00PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

12

Prior art documents discussed:

NA

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/MEKONEN BEKELE/
 Examiner, Art Unit 2624

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner called and suggested to applicant's representative to amend claim 12 to overcome a potential rejection under 35 U.S.C. 101 rejection because the application is in condition for allowance. The applicant's representative agreed to amend the claim, and then the applicant's representative send the amendment via fax.